1. SIL Determination Using LOPA Scenario Analysis

1.1. The SIL Determination Page

Click "2-SIL Determ" at the bottom of the page to view the SIL Determination page.

Example		Description		Intended
	Example Document	1.1. No Flow		
e		in an	Protection Layers and Modifiers and Enablers	
De	scription	Likelihood MF % Cont	Description	Туре
ocked gas outlet from	slug catcher (e.g. pressure contr	0.1 0.00002502 100	V 1 01-PSV-102 on Slug catcher.	0.05
			2 100 Solution A for LOPA loop 1	0.00
Delete		2004-12-07 15:38:01 TF 0.00100000	Add Delete Clean	
10 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	³ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁷	MF 0.00002502 10 ⁸ Target SIL -	RR 1.00e+0 PFD 1.00e+0	
indations Notes Us	er Data Failure and Contingency Table	Bow Tie Diagram		
10000 100	er bata i railare ana contingency racie			Assigned To Complete
sider addition of a high pr	essure shut down, tied to the ESD valves,	with a separate pressure transmitter, des	igned to SIL 2	TDE

Confirm that all the relevant causes and safeguards from the HAZOP have been used in the creation of the loop.

Review the imported HAZOP to identify consequences that meet an agreed set of criteria for undergoing LOPA. Add new LOPA loops until the project contains a full set of scenarios for detailed analysis.

1.2. LOPA Causes: Likelihood

On the SIL Determination page, edit the likelihood values for the initiating events.

ACM Safet	Guard Profiler - (LOPA) - SIL	Determination		_	Galari	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A	_	_	0 <mark></mark> X_
File Fait	View Font Analysis	Document Title	ip	Descriptio	n		Interneted		N 1
← →	Example	Example Document		1.1. No F	low		Intended		
LOPA Cause	•		Western S			Protection Layers and Modifiers and Enablers			
	Descrip	ption	Likelihoo	d MF	% Cont	Description		Туре	PFD
H 1.1.5. Blo	icked gas outlet from slu	g catcher (e.g. pressure contri	• 0.1	0.0000	2502 100	1 01-PSV-102 on Slug catcher.		<u> </u>	.05000000 M
						Image: The state of the sta		0	.00500432 <u>L</u> J
Add	Delete			2004-12-	07 15:38:01	Add Delete Clean			
ont	TF			TF	0.00100000				
MF				MF	0.00002502	RR 1.00e+0			
100	10 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁶ 10	7 10-8	Target	SIL -	PFD 1.00e+0			
Recommen	dations Notes User D	ata Failure and Contingency Tab	le Bow Ti	e Diagram					
Description							Assigned To	Comp	leted By
1.5.1.1 Cons	ider addition of a high pressu	ure shut down, tied to the ESD valve	s, with a set	parate pressu	ire transmitter, de	signed to SiL 2	TDE		
Add	Delete Search								
Master		1-Event 2-SIL Determ	SIL Vald	4-SRS	1		<	1 of 6	> Go To

In the context of SafeGuard Profiler[™], "likelihood" refers to "event frequency". (IEC 61511 erroneously uses the terms "likelihood" and "frequency" synonymously.)

Likelihood ratings are usually available in the Risk Matrix document that the company or analyst chooses to guide the HAZOPs and LOPA studies. It is considered good practice for the first pass at the analysis to use conservative values.

1.3. Protection Layers: Probability of Failure on Demand (PFD)

1.3.1. Database Normalization Concept

SafeGuard Profiler[™] utilizes a normalized relational database. Normalized tables are suitable for general-purpose querying, as data is organized to minimize redundancy.

Ensure that PFD values created during the LOPA sessions are unique. This means that protection layer PFD values must be uniquely matched to the protection layer description at all times.

1.3.2. Probability of Failure on Demand (PFD)

To assign a PFD value to a protection layer, click the "M" button beside the protection layer description. This will open the the "LOPA Protection Layer Edit" window.

LOPA Protection Layer Edit
LOP Type
O Preventive
○ Mitigative
© Enabler
○ Modifier ►
Unassigned
PFD
You may enter values such as
Manual 0.0500000 0.1 or 2e-1
C Select a Loop to link to Value
 100 Solution A for LOPA loop 1 (Risk Graph or SIF) <1> -101 CDN-DWGSCHM-030 (Risk Graph or SIF) -103 CDN-DWGSCHM-031 (Risk Graph or SIF) 104 (LOPA) 105 Loss of containment, potential for vapour cloud, explosion (need to be confirm)
Cancel

Select "Manual" and set the PFD value (e.g. "0.05").

Click OK.

ACM SafeG	uard Profiler - (LOPA) - SIL	Determination	Colored Party of the local division of the l	The real location of the second		
<u>File E</u> dit	View Font Analysis	Settings Tools Optimize Help	Description			
⊖ →	Example	Example Document	1.1. No Flow		Intended	
LOPA Cause		n San San San San San San San San San San		Protection Layers and Modifiers and Enablers		
H 115 Bloc	ked gas outlet from slu	a catcher (e.a. pressure contri • 0.1	0 00002502 100	I 01-PSV-102 on Slug catcher		0.05000000
in the bloc	and gus could normal	g contaitor (org. prossuro conta)	0.00001001	2 100 Solution A for LOPA loop 1	_	0.00500402
Add I	Delete TF		2004-12-07 15:38:01 TF 0.00100000 MF 0.00002502	Add Delete Clean		
100 10	0 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	10 ⁴ 10 ⁵ 10 ⁶ 10 ⁷ 10 ⁴	Target SIL -	PFD 1.00e+0		
Recommend	ations Notes User D	ata Failure and Contingency Table Bow 1	ie Diagram		Assigned To	Completed By
1.5.1.1 Consid	der addition of a high pressu	ure shut down, tied to the ESD valves, with a se	parate pressure transmitter, des	igned to SIL 2	TDE	Competer by
Add	Delete Search		1			
Master	*	1-Event 2-SIL Determ A-SIL Vaid	4-SRS 1		<	1 of 6 > Go To

As with the LOPA Cause likelihood values, it is considered good practice to assign conservative order-of-magnitude values to the PFD values for the protection layers.

2. Analyzing Alternate LOPA Scenarios

2.1. The Alternate Scenario Concept

SafeGuard Profiler[™] lets you examine alternate scenarios that could stem from a set of causes leading to a particular consequence.

Alternate scenarios are often used to examine the effects of implementing recommendations, either from the original HAZOP or from the LOPA process itself.

The following diagram shows another loop from the example file, where the tolerable frequency was not met and where a recommendation was made to further mitigate the problem.

O ACM Safe	Guard Profiler - (LOPA) - SIL Det	termination	-												-		-	0 <mark></mark> X_
<u>File E</u> dit	View Font Project	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>S</u> et #	tings <u>I</u> ools Document	Optimize Title	Help C	Descriptio	on								Inte	nded			-1
←→	Example	E	xample Docu	ment		Loss of c	ontainment,	potential	otential for vapour cloud, explosion (need to be confirmed with dispurtion study).								5	FEGUARD PROPER	
LOPA Cause	•	Description	1		Likelihood	I ME	% Con	Prote	ction Layers Descri	and Modifie	s and Enablers							Туре	PFD
H Manual v	alve left open o	on inlet line.			0.0002	0.00020	0000 100	1.	7 10 None	identified.							-	1.0	M 000000
Add	Delete					2004-12-	07 15:38:01	Ad	idDele	ete Clea	n								
iont			CII D	TF		TF	0.00000100												
MF	or1 402	103 1	SIL 2	100	107 108	MF	0.00020000	RR	2.00e+2										
Recommen	dations Notes	10° 1	Collura and C	10°	10' 10°	Diagram	SIL Z	PFD	5.00e-5										
Description	uations Notes	User Data	Failure and C	onungency	able Dow In	Diagram										Assigned To		Complet	ed By
Confirm with	previous dispers	sion study if a V	CE is possible.																
Add	Delete Se	sarch																	
Master		•	1-Event 2-SI	L Determ	H-Sil, Valid	4-SRS	105										<	6 of 6	> Go To

2.2. Creating Alternate Scenarios

To create an alternate scenario, either:

Select Edit > Create New Scenario from the top menu.

Use the hot keys Ctrl + S

Use the scenario dropdown menu at the bottom left of the application window.

O AC	M SafeGuard Profiler - (LOPA) - SI	L Determin	ation			Contract of	No. of Lot.	Tang Look	na Kangat			_ 0 <mark></mark>
File	Edit View Font Analysis Insert New Loop	Settings Ctrl+N	Tools Optimize H ument Title	elp	Descriptio	n					Intended	
€	Replicate Loop Delete Loop	Ctrl+R Ctrl+D	le Document		Loss of c	ontainment,	potentia	al for vapo	ir cloud, e	xplosion (need to be confirmed with dispurtic	on study).	SAFEQUARD FROM LER
LOP	Convert to LOPA Loop(s)	Creles		Likelihoo	d ME	% Cont	Prot	tection Layer	and Modifier	s and Enablers		Type PED
нм	Copy Scenario to Master Delete Scenario			0.0002	0.00020	000 100		v 10 Non	identified.			1.00000000 M
A	d Delete				2004-12-0	7 15:38:01	A	vdd De	te Clea	n		
IC	MF 0 10 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	10-4	TF 51L 2 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁶ 1	0 ⁻⁷ 10 ⁴	TF MF Target	0.00000100 0.00020000 SIL 2	RR PFD	2.00e+2 5.00e-3				

ACM Safe	Guard Profiler - (LOPA) - SIL	Determination			Sec. 1	and and	-			1.0.0	-			_	_	_		
File Edit	View Font Analysis	Settings Tools	Optimize H	elp	Descriptic													
← →	Example	Example Doc	ument		Loss of c	containment, p	otential	for vapour	loud, exp	olosion (need	to be confir	med with dis	purtion stud	ty).	ntended			
LOPA Cause		n. Real		1000-540	2 7 - 1892 -	a second of the	Prote	ction Layers an	d Modifiers	and Enablers								PEODED PROFILER
	Descri	ption		Likelihoo	d MF	% Cont		Description	n								Туре	PFD
Manual V	aive left open on inlet line	э.		0.0002	0.0002	100 100	1	10 None Id	entinea.								1.0	0000000 M
Add MF 10 ⁰ Recommen	Delete	SIL 2 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁵	TF 10 ⁶ 1	0 ⁻⁷ 10 ⁻⁸	2004-12- TF MF Target	07 15:38:01 0.00000100 0.00020000 SIL 2	RR PFD	d Delete 2.00e+2 5.00e-3	Clean									
Description		1.000													Assigned Te	5	Comple	ted By
Confirm with	previous dispersion study i	f a VCE is possible.														<u> </u>		
Consider us	e of gas detection (to be rev	ewed under tire & g	as giobal review	v).														
Master		7											1					
Create Sce	hario												_					
Replace Ma	ster				1 0000													
master		1 Event 2-S	Determ	a solla yand	4-SRS	105										<	6016	- GO 10

In the "Create New Scenario" dialog, enter a scenario name and click OK. For this example, type "Recommendation".

Create New Scenario	
Scenario Name:	
Cancel	ОК

This is a simple duplicate of the original master scenario except that the name "Recommendation" appears in the scenario dropdown box. Any changes made to this alternate scenario will not affect the Master scenario.

ACM SafeGu	uard Profiler - (LOPA) - SI	L Determination		-	the lot of the supervised states in the supervised states and the supe		_ 0 <mark>_</mark> ×
<u>File E</u> dit	View Font Analysis	Settings Tools Optimize Hel	Desc	cription		Interneted Prove last	• 1
(→	Example	Example Document	Los	s of containment, po	tential for vapour cloud, explosion (need to be confirmed with dispurtion study).	Intended	
LOPA Cause	Desc	ription	Likelihood	MF % Cont	Protection Layers and Modifiers and Enablers Description		Type PFD
H Manual val	ve left open on inlet lin	18.	0.0002 0	0.00020000 100	F 10 None identified.		1.00000000 M
Add E	Delete	ग	20	004-12-07 15:38:01 TF 0.00000100	Ad Delete Clean		
MF		SIL 2		MF 0.00020000	RR 2.00e+2		
10 ⁰ 10 Recommenda	1 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁵ 10	/ 10 ⁻⁸ Ta	arget SIL 2	PFD 5.00e-3		
Description		Data Trailure and contingency raci		-grant		Assigned To	Completed By
Confirm with p Consider use of	revious dispersion study of gas detection (to be re-	If a VCE is possible. viewed under fire & gas global review)	λ.				1
Add [Delete Search						
Recommenda	ation	1-Event 2-SIL Determ	SILVaki 4-S	SRS 105		<	6 of 6 > Go To

To examine the effect of implementing the recommendation, go to the "Protection Layer" section and click "Add".

In the Add LOPA Protection Layer window, you may choose between:

- selecting an existing protection layer, used elsewhere in the project; or
- creating a new protection layer.

Add I	LOPA Pro	tection Layer			
-LOP/	A Protecti	on Layer			
Proje	ect: *Ex	ample*			-
Lov	or of Brot	oction	Fil	ter By	
					PED
1	Турс	01 BSV 102 on Slug ontohor		LOI 19	0.05000000
2	-	01-PSV-102 Off Slug Calcher.		-	0.0500000
2	-			-	0.00500432
2	-			-	0.1000000
3	-	High Flow Alarm (from plant)		-	0.1000000
7	-	LI PA Supply		-	0.5000000
a	-	Low Flow Alarm (from shipper)		-	0.10000000
10	-	None identified		-	1 00000000
8	-	Operating Procedures		-	0.10000000
5	-	Shipping Alarm		-	0.10000000
Creat	te New LO	DPA Protection Layer			
⊖ Pr	reventive	\blacktriangleright			
ОМ	itigative	\succ			
O Er	nabler	\bowtie			
О M	odifier	Copy and Edit			
Ur Desc	nassigne cription:	Gas detector	(Note the	e Descriptio	n must be unique.
Car	ncel				ОК

For a new protection layer, enter a unique description to identify it and click OK.

ACM SafeGu	ard Profiler - (LOPA) - SIL	Determination		Cardian I	The set loss to Country March 1997		- 0 <u>- X</u>
<u>File Edit</u>	View Font Analysis	Settings Iools Optimize H	elp				
← →	Example	Example Document	L	escription oss of containment, p	Intended Int	ed	SAFEQUARD PROFILE
LOPA Cause	600 (A		10 A 10		Protection Layers and Modifiers and Enablers		
	Descrip	stion	Likelihood	MF % Cont	Description	Ту	rpe PFD
m Manual var	ve iert open on iniet line		0.0002	0.00020000 100	V TU None identified.		1.00000000 M
Add	Delete			2004-12-07 15:38:01	Add Delete Clean		
iont	Junio	TE		TE 0.00000100			
ME		SIL 2		TF 0.00000100	DD 0.00-10		
MIP				MF 0.00020000	RR 2.000+2		
100 10	r ¹ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁶ 1	07 108	Target SIL 2	PFD 5.00e-3		
Recommenda	ations Notes User D	ata Failure and Contingency Ta	ble Bow Tie	Diagram			
Description	revious dispersion study if	a VCE is possible			Ass	gned To	Completed By
Consider use o	of gas detection (to be revi	ewed under fire & das global review	v).				
Add D	Delete Search						
Recommenda	ation -	1-Event 2-SIL Determ	3-Sil. Vald	4-SRS 105		< /	6 of 6 > Go To

This new protection layer is determined to be effective for mitigating all three initiating events. Following conservative practice, its PFD is assigned a manual value of 0.1.

To change the protection layer's PFD value, click the "M" button to the right of the PFD field. This will launch the "LOPA Protection Layer Edit" window.

Apply the protection layer to all the LOPA causes:

- 1. Click to highlight each cause in the LOPA Cause list; and
- 2. In the "Protection Layer" list, ensure the checkbox for the new protection layer is checked.

ACM SafeG	uard Profiler - (LOPA) - Sl	L Determination		(Miles)	CONTRACTOR DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA DAT	_	
<u>File</u> <u>E</u> dit	View Font Analysis	Settings Tools Optin	nize <u>H</u> elp	Description		Interneted	N
← →	Example	Example Documer	ıt	Loss of containment, p	otential for vapour cloud, explosion (need to be confirmed with dispurtion study).	Intended	SAFEGUARD PROFILER
LOPA Cause	Data	dation	1 Burlings	4 NE % Cara	Protection Layers and Modifiers and Enablers		Euro DED
H Manual va	lve left open on inlet lir	npuon	0.0002	0.00002000 100	II None identified]	1.0000000 M
The second as the	ive left open on met li	10.	0.0002	0.0002000 100		_	0.1
Add MF	Delete	SIL 1	F	2004-12-07 15:38:01 TF 0.00000100 MF 0.00002000	Add Delete Clean RR 2.00e+1		
10° 1	0 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻³	10** 10*° 10	r° 10' 10'	Target SIL I	PFD 5.00e-2		
Description	ations Notes User	Data Failure and Conting	gency Table Bow T	ie Diagram		Assigned To	Completed Ry
Confirm with	previous dispersion study	If a VCE is possible.				i cognes re	Completed by
Consider use	of gas detection (to be re	viewed under fire & gas glol	bal review).				
Add	Delete Search						
Recommend	ation	- 1-Event 2-SIL De	term 3-Sil. Vald	4-SRS 105		<	6 of 6 > Go To

The results show that the mitigated frequency is now very close to the tolerable frequency. More in-depth analysis of initiating event likelihoods and PFDs for protection layers could show that the tolerable frequency is actually met.